G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1878-79.

No.

(Courts.)

Later references.

File No. 10

Serial Nos. 1-28.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 28

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Jurisdiction of Civil Courts in Mysore.

Indexed
Dn

The following Rules for fixing the Jurisdiction of the Courts in Mysore and for regulating other matters connected therewith are hereby promulgated under the authority of His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General of India in Council and are declared to have the force of law with effect from the 1st June 1879.

in supersession of all rules and regulations formerly hereto-fore issued for the said purpose.

1. Besides the Court of Small Causes at Bangalore there shall be four grades of Civil Courts in Mysore, namely:

The Court of the Munsiff

The Court of the

Subordinate Judge corresponding with the Court of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner

The Court of the District Judge.

The Court of the Judicial Commissioner.

3. The local jurisdiction of each Court shall be fixed and may from time to time be varied by the Chief Commissioner under the sanction of the Governor General of India in Council; but the Courts at present existing, and grades hereinbefore mentioned, and of grades corresponding hereunto, shall be deemed to have been established under these Rules.

4. The Judicial Commissioner shall exercise a general superintendence over all the Civil Courts of the

1. The number of Courts in each grade shall be fixed and may from time to time be varied by the Chief Commissioner, under the sanction of the Governor General of India in Council; but the Courts at present existing, and grades hereinbefore mentioned, and of grades corresponding hereunto, shall be deemed to have been established under these Rules

Province; and the District Judge shall exercise a general superintendence over the Courts of the — Subordinate Judges and of the Sheriffs within his District.

Art. 5 The Civil Courts shall exercise Jurisdiction as shewn in the Table annexed.

The Court of the Sheriff.

Power of a Civil Court when the amount or value of the Subject matter of the suit does not exceed five hundred Rupees.

The Court of the Subordinate Judge

Power of a Civil Court where the amount or value of the Subject matter of the Suit

does not exceed five
thousand Rupees.

Power to hear and
hear appeals from
the decrees and orders
of the Courts of the
Munsiffs, when such
appeal is allowed
by Law.

Powers of a District
Court under the Code of
Civil Procedure, and
all other Laws for the
time being in force

Powers of a Civil
Court whatever be the
amount or value of
the subject matter
of the Suit.

Powers to admit
and hear appeals
from the decrees and
orders of the Courts
of the Munsiffs and

the Court of the District
Judge.

of the Subordinate Judges where such appeal is allowed by Law.

The Court of the Judicial Commissioner.

Power of a High Court.

Power to hear appeals from the decree and order of the District Judge when such appeal is allowed by Law.

Second Appeals will go to Div: Court, without any particular rule, under the Code of Civil Procedure, to High Court.

Appeals from the decree and order of the Courts of the Munsiff shall ordinarily be preferred in the Court of the Subordinate Judge but where there is no Subordinate Judge exercising Jurisdiction in the local Jurisdiction of the Munsiff from whose decision the

appeal is laid, the appeal shall be presented to the Court of the District Judge.

6.7 No Judge of any Civil Court shall, unless with the consent of the parties, try any Suit or appeal, or miscellaneous proceeding in which he is a party or is personally interested or any appeal against a decree or order passed by himself.

Or any Such Suit, appeal or proceeding being brought before a Judge of a Civil Court he shall forthwith, unless the parties apply that he proceed with the case himself, transmit the record to the Court to which he is immediately subordinate, with an

explanation of his
reasons for so doing.

Such Court
shall thereupon try
the cause itself or
transfer it for trial
to any subordinate
Court of competent
jurisdiction, as to the
amount or value of
the subject matter.

§ . . . The Chief Com-
missioner may pre-
side at any sitting of
with the powers of a
Judge of a Court of
Small Causes, to be
exercised within the
whole or any part of
his local jurisdiction
as he may determine.

§ . . . Where any cause
pending before
a Court of Small Causes
amount or value of
the subject matter of
the suit is of such
amount or value as
the Chief Commissioner
may think fit for

I think this is better
than your Amendt.
& if so pass your
S. Cause Powers.

I think it
is doubtful whether
all Suits & Civil
Cause Powers; & I
would not give them
an Appellate Jurisdiction
as proposed

[illegible]

proceeding 50 Rupees.

The Chief Commissioner may invest any Subordinate Judge with the powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes, to be exercised within the whole or any part of his local jurisdiction, to hear and determine suits where the amount or value of the subject matter of the suit is of such amount or value as the Chief Commissioner may think fit, not exceeding 500 Rupees.

X. 9. The Chief Commissioner may direct that the civil appellate jurisdiction of any District Judge shall be transferred to the Court of the

In civil suits, not of a penal nature, wherein the amount or value of the subject matter does not exceed 50 Rupees, an appeal shall not lie to the High Court.

2nd Appeal C. P.

This will I think be necessary, if it is proposed that the civil appellate jurisdiction of any District Judge shall be transferred to the Court of the Judicial Commissioner

Judicial Commissioner either wholly or in respect of a particular class of appeals, and either for a specified time or until further order.

The Chief Commissioner may also at any time direct that any jurisdiction so transferred to the Judicial Commissioner shall revert to the District Judge.

Also

X.-10-12. The Judicial Commissioner shall exercise the powers of a High Court under the Code of Criminal Procedure, and the Chief Commissioner may direct that the criminal jurisdiction of any Sessions Judge shall be transferred to the Judicial

Commissioner either wholly or in respect of a particular case or class of cases and either for a specified time or until further order.

The Chief Commissioner may also at any time check that any Judge's direction so transferred to the Judicial Commissioner may revert to the Sessions Judge.

J.D. Sandeman

23 April

Additional Clause proposed by Mr Sandford MPC

10- The Chief Commissioner may invest any subordinate Judge with the Powers of a District Court under Act XXVII of 1860 "An act for facilitating the collection of debts in Mofussil Courts"

11 Application under the rules in force relative to the custody and guardianship of minors shall ordinarily be made to the District Judge within whose local jurisdiction the greater part of the property of the minor is situate, or the minor resides But the Chief Commissioner may invest any subordinate judge with power to entertain and adjudicate upon such applications.

Memo of Judicial Jurisdiction immediately to be introduced

Civil

Original I. Small Causes

(a) Bangalore Town up to Rs 300, to the Small Cause Court Judge and Cantonment Magistrate, with a Registrar for suits below Rs 20.

(b) At Head Quarters of all Districts, excepting Bangalore, to the Judicial Assistants or Sub Judges, up to Rs 100.

(c) To Munsiffs, within the limits of the town or Municipality forming their Head Qrs up to Rs 20.

II. Regular

(a) Up to Rs 500 to Munsiffs
(b) Up to Rs 5000 to Jud. Assts or Sub Jud.
(c) Up to any amount, to Civil & Sessions Judges.

Appellate.

Regular

(a) From Munsiffs - To Sub-Judges - —
Civil and Sessions Judges may with-draw such appeals to their own Courts.

(b) From Sub-Judges. To Civil and Sessions Judges —

(c) From Civil Sessions Judges - To Judicial Commissioners.

Special

(d) From appeals decided by Sub-Judges and Civil & Sessions Judges to Judicial Com.

Criminal.

Original

(a) Amildars — 3d Class Magl cases.

(b) Munsiffs except at Mysore and Bangalore — 2d Class — do —

(c) Jud Asst or Sub Judges except at Bangalore and Mysore, and all Genl Assistants and one Sub Div Assistant — 1st Class — do —

(d) District Officers — District Magistrates

(e) Civil & Sessions Judges — Sessions cases including those never tried by Distt Magts under s 36 CP Code.

Appellate.

(a) Jud Asst, except at Bangalore & Mysore, and all Genl Asst, and the one Sub Div Asst — appeals from 2d & 3d Class Magistrates

(b) District Officers. — do — do

(c) Civil & Sessions Judges. — appeals from all 1st Class Magistrates appeals from Civil & Sessions Judges - Reference & Revision.

(d) Jud Comr —

General No. 1106
J. C. 16

Bangalore 1st May 79

To The Secty to the Govt of India
Foreign Dept
Simla

Sir

I am directed by the C.C to forward for the sanction of the Govt of India rules for fixing the jurisdiction of the Courts in Mysore.

2. These rules I am to state have been framed in consultation with the Jud Comr and in accordance with the proposals made in the minute by Mr Gordon which was submitted to you with my letter No. 1953 dated 15th February 1879

3 The constitution of the Bangalore court of Smi C. C. is not affected by these rules being governed by Act XI of 1865 and it will in Mr Gordon's opinion be advisable to leave the pecuniary jurisdiction of the court at Rs 500 as now regulated by that Act and not to reduce it to Rs 300 as was before proposed

I have &c

M. L.
5/5

L.P.B. 6/5

1879

No. 19.

To

The Secy: of State
for Govt of India
Foreign Dept:
Simla

Dated 16th Decr
Recd " "
No. 12 " "
Genl: No. 1106 ✓

Enclosure No 1
Rules by gov Govr

No 16

1879

Forwards for sanction rules ~~rules~~ certain ~~rules~~ rules for fixing the jurisdiction of the Calcutta Police.

Vide Bengal Govt Judicial, Foreign &c
No 237 J. dated 22 Jan 1879
No 419 J. dated 22 March 1879

No. 1

Bangalore 25th June 1879

No: 1143/129.

From

J. D. Sandford Esqre
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy of a letter No. 39 of the 23d inst. from the District Judge Nundidroog Division, soliciting instructions as to whether he is empowered, under Section 349 (540?) Civil Procedure Code, to hear appeals from the decisions of the Munsiffs, and to represent the urgent necessity of obtaining sanction to the Rules which have been framed for defining the jurisdiction of the District Judge.

2. Until such Rules are promulgated with

with the sanction of law, appeals from Municipals can only in my opinion, be received by the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, under the old Rules, which are still in force, and I have so informed the District Judge.

3. And for the hearing of appeals from the Court of the Judicial Assistants, there is, until the jurisdiction of the District Judge has been defined, no Court in existence.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed.t Servant

W. Macdonald

Lt. Judicial Commissioner

Nundydroog Division
District and Sessions Court

No. 39. Bangalore 23d June 1879.

To

The Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to solicit your instructions as to whether I am empowered, under section 549 Civil Procedure Code, to hear appeals from the decisions passed by the Courts of Munsiffs in this Division.

2. From para 4 of Chief Commissioner's Proceedings, Revenue Department, No. 57 & 79 / 86. 64 dated 19th Ultimo, it would appear that, owing to the withdrawal of Civil jurisdiction, a reduction of the Establishment heretofore allowed to the Deputy Commissioners has been ordered, and the Process Establishment of the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's Office has also been transferred to this Court. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts in this Division have now

no Establishment for carrying on the Civil work which heretofore devolved on them.

3. Two appeals from the decisions of the Munsiffs have been presented to me, but as I have not been specially authorized to receive such appeals, I have taken the liberty of making this reference.

I have &c.

[Signed] T. C. A. Thumboo Chetty
District and Sessions Judge.

"Copy"

S. Kanagee Rao
Registrar

1/6

1879.80 O/1724.

From
The Chief Commissioner
Mysore

To The General Secretary
to the Chief Commissioner
Mysore

Dated 20 June 1879

Rec'd 25 JUN 1879
No 1143

Forwarding a Copy of a
letter from the District
Judge of Chitaldroog
and requesting the
urgent necessity of obtaining
sanction to the Rules
which have been
framed for defining
the Jurisdiction of
the Munsifs

Telegram
1879.

From
S[illegible]

To India Foreign

Camp Mysore

Dated 26th August

Despatched 29th "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4284

Y No. 72

From India, Foreign, No 237, 26th August 1879 C. 2483

General No: 4433

J. 68

Dated Camp Mysore 5th Septemr 1879

Read

Letter No 237 S.J

of 27th August 1879,

from the Under Secretary

to the Government of

India, Foreign Dept,

forwarding two

Notifications of the

Government of

India prescribing

rules for exercising the

jurisdiction of

of the Civil and

Criminal Courts

in Mysore.

Order:—

The Notifications

Enclosed with the

above will be forwarded

to the Comptroller, Mysore

&/ Camp Mysore 5th Septr 1879

Gazette for republi-
= cation.

2. Mr Sandford is requested to favor the Chief Commissioner with his opinion on the point noticed in para 6, and to communicate his suggestions in regard to the ~~de~~ punishable Executive orders that may have to be issued under para 4 of the Govt of India's letter above read.

W. L.
Secretary

To the Judicial Commr in Mysore.
(with copies of Govt of India's letter & Enclosure
" Commr Mysore Lingayat
(with Copies of the
Notifications)

WL
5/9/79

1879

To
The Judicial Commr
& Compiler, Mysore Gazette

Dated 5th September
Despd 6th —— "——
Recd

Enclos: 3 { 1 Copy of letter No. 237
2 Copies of notifus sent to Govt. Commr

Enclos: 2 { 2 Copies of notifus read with No. 237 sent to Compiler

No 4433
J 68

Forwarding copies of Govt. of India Notifications regarding the jurisdiction of the Civil & Criminal Courts in Mysore -

From Judl Commr No. 2127/249, (O.2602), Dtd. 2nd Sept 1879
From Judl Commr, No. 2155/255, Dtd. 3rd Sept 1879

Mysore Chief Commr's Office
Camp Mysore 5th Sept. 1879

Notification

The following Notifications Nos 235 & 236 of 27 Augt 1879 of the Government of India, Foreign Department, fixing the jurisdiction of the Civil & Criminal Courts in Mysore, are republished for general information

by order

M.
Secretary

Genl No 4437
9 . 879 To Compiler
Mysore Gazette.

1879

To The Compiler
Mysore Gazette

Dated 5th September
desp. 6th ——"——

Encls: 2 copies of notifns read with No. 2379 27th Augt. 79 from India Fot. Dept.

Genl. No: 4437
G " 879

Forwards copies of Govt of India Notifications fixing the jurisdictions of the Civil & Criminal Courts in Mysore

1879-80 0.2682

il from the jurisd: of the Dist: J.
of Asht: to Nagar.

In the Not: appointg
Mussts & Sm.C. jurisd:
Mr. Sandford proposes to leave
at Closepet (see a subsequent
note). If a sub: judge is
appointed for Chitaldroog
he will have Sm.C powrs.
for that town & the Munsiff
then may be omitted too. —

Colstray & Clarke to be Asst:
Dist: & Sessns judges & the
Sub: judges to be appointed
by name. No note is sent
by Mr. Sandford because
it may be in contemplation
to make changes in the
latter before next Saturday

W.C 8/9

Drafted
8/9

From

The Secy: Commissioner
Mysore

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
Mysore

General Dept:

Dated 6 September 1879

No 2127 Gen:
249

Forwards for consideration
law and publication
draft notifications
regarding the Jurisdiction of the Civil
Courts in the Province

Submit to Secretary
to-day with reference.
Mark "Urgent" on Cover

WP
Mr Page
6-9-79

Attended to
WP
8/9

The notifn: fixing the Cts & their
jurisdiction requires amend-
ment by adding a Sub: judge
of Chitaldroog for the dist of
Kadur: by taking Kadur out
of the jurisdiction of the Sub:
judge of Hassan & by transferring

No. 2127 Cnr
249

Bangalore 6th September 1879.

From.

J. D. Sandford Esquire,
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore.

To,

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Chief Commissioner the accompanying draft Notifications prepared under the provisions of Rules 3 and 8 of the Rules published under Notification No. 235 I. J. dated 27th August 1879 of the Government of India, in view to their publication in the Mysore Gazette.

2. It is requested that immediate orders be obtained for the issue of the Notifications if approved.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

J D Sandford
Judicial Commissioner.

RS

Notification

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J. J. dated 27th August 1879, the Chief Commissioner has been pleased to invest the Munsiffs mentioned below with the Jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 50 within the local limits of the Towns specified opposite their names.

Mr. Ranganath Row	Munsiff	Closepete
Ramasawmy Iyengar acting		
Sachedanandum Pillay	Munsiff	Chintamony
Varadaraj iengar acting		
Ramasawmy Iyer	Munsiff	Chikballapur
J. Lawrance	Munsiff	Nanjangode
Manjapati Naidu	Munsiff	Davengere
~~Rajhavendra~~ Row	Munsiff	Chitnagalur

W. Lambert
Asst. Commissr

R.

Notification.

Under the provisions of Rule 6 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J. J. dated 27th August 1879, the Chief Commissioner has been pleased to invest the Subordinate Judges mentioned below with the Jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits Cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 500, within the local limits of the Towns specified opposite their names.

Mr. P. N. Purnaya Rao Subordinate Judge Kolar

" B. Sreenevasiengar Subordinate Judge Tumkur

" S. Narahari Rao Subordinate Judge Mysore

" V. Srinivalachari Subordinate Judge Hassan

" C. Cress ——— Subordinate Judge Shimoga

" M. Mudukrishna Naidu Subordinate Judge Chitaldroog

" E. Subramboyen " " " Gulbergah

Chas. Lushington

Chief Commissioner

No. 2153.
255

Bangalore 8th Sept. 1879

From.

J. D. Sandford. Esquire
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.
General Department.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Chief Commissioner's proceedings No. 4433 J 68 of the 5th Instant, and to state, with reference to para 6 of the letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India No. 237 J.J. dated the 27th Ultimo, that it will be sufficient to add the words "and applications for the management of Estates and guardianship of lunatics" after the words "guardianship of minors" in Rule 11.

2. With reference to para 9 of the Government letter, I have to state

that in addition to the Notifications, drafts of which were forwarded with my letter No. 2127/2119 dated 6th September 1879, it will be necessary at once to appoint District Judges of Chittagram and Nazar and also Subordinate Judges, before the 15th Instant. It would also be proper to reappoint the present Nuncigas under the new Rules.

3. Provision has been made for the appointment of Ministerial Officers and their dismissal by the Rule of Practice No. 4 dated 20th August 1878, which was issued with the approval of the Chief Commissioner.

4. The matter of holidays has also been settled by letter from the Government of India No. 9 dated 20th January 1879, copy of which was received with your No. 100/176 dated 8th February 1879.

5. It will be necessary to provide seals for the Courts of District Judges and Subordinate Judges, and with the Chief Commissioners approval, I will issue the necessary orders. The seals can be made in Bangalow at the cost of 12 Rupees each, and the expense can be met from the Contingent grants

of

of the Courts.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt Servt
"

T Stanyford
Esq
Judicial Commissioner

22703

1879. 80 Various

From

The Jud: Commissioner
Alyesbury

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner &
Glyn &c

Gulcacha:

Dated 8 September 79

Rec'd [illegible]

C. No 2183
255.

Referring to his No. 4433/68
the J. Comm: conveys
certain remarks
regarding the Constitution of Civil Courts
under the new rules
sanctioned by the
Government of India

In reply to their letter York
Lith is asked to add to Rule 11
& will be informed of the
rules as to Municipal Officers &
Holidays

The Jud: Comr may be
authorized to supply seals
& York informed accordingly

[illegible] 10/9

[illegible] 11/9

Dist & Sub: Judges have
been appointed

If approved a note will issue
appg Municipls which W. Sandford
considers proper

Mysore Chief Commrs Office
Dated Camp Mysore 9th September 1879.

Notification.

Under the provisions of Rule 3 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 I.J dated 27th August 1879. the local limits of the Jurisdiction of the Courts noted below have been fixed as follows:—

Name of Court	Local Jurisdiction.
Court of the Amuaff of Bangalore	The Taluks of Bangalore, Anekal, Hoskote
" Yelahanka	Devanhulli, Nelamangula, Dod Ballapur
" Closepett	Nagadi, Closepett, Kankanhalli
" Kolar	Kolar, Mulur, Bethamangala, Mulbagal
" Chintamani	Sidlugatta, Shivanasapur
" Chikballapur	Gauribidnur, Chikballapur, Gumarkanpalya and Gudibanda
" Tumkur	Tumkur, Sira, Madhugiri in Koratagere and the following towns & Villages of the Kadaba Taluk Viz: Gubbi, Chahanahalli, Adivani, Channasagara, Gundlupet, Gangihalli, Kalle Gubbi Hosur, Gubbi, Hulanur, Adalapura, Mallasandra, Kanjur, Mallasandra, Gangihalli, Hemasandra, Timmanakuppi.
" Turumkiré	Kadaba (except town & Villages above specified) Honnavalli, Kunigal, Chikmanjakanhalli and the Hoblies of Haliyar, Thimmanahally, Kabbaktare and Muddanhalli of Bendikal Taluk.
" Mysore	Mysore, Nelitagram.
" Nanjangud	Nanjangud, Gundlupet, Chamrajnagar, Taltad, Yelandur.
" Hemsur	Periyapatna, Heggadadevan Kote, Yedatare.
" Mandya	Mandya, Maddur, Malvalli Nagamangla, Attikuppa with the exception of Kikkeri Hobli.
" Hassan	Hassan, Arsikere, Belur, & Hanhalli (with exception of Kittangeré Hobli)
" Narsipur	Narsipur, Arkalgode, Channarayapatna & the Kikkeri Hobly of the Attikuppa Taluk.

Court of the Munsiff of Chikmagalur	The Taluks of Chikmagalur, Mudigere, Koppa, the Kippla, Kalvasid Hebbla Draganis of the Lakvalli Taluk.
" Kadur	The Lakvalli Taluk except the Draganis of Kippla, Kalvasi, & Hebbla. Tarikere, Bankapur, & the Kittangere Hobli attached to the Harihalli Taluk of the Hassan District.
" Shimoga	Shemoga, Shemagani. Kavaledurga.
" Sagar	Sagar, Nagar, Into Anantapur.
" Shikarpur	Honnali, Shikarpur, Sorab.
" Chitaldroog	Chitaldroog, Hiriyur, Hoodurga, Budihal, excepting Huliyar, Thummanihally, Kandukere & Hunddanhalli Hoblis which are included in the Tumkur Sub D. Munsiffs Court, Tumkur District.
" Davangere	Davangere, Harihar Kupp.
" Chellakere	Do-dderi, Pavagada.

Court of the Subordinate Judge	District of Bangalore.
Bangalore. Kolar	Kolar
Tumkur	Tumkur
Mysore	Mysore
Hassan	Hassan
Shemoga	Shemoga
Chitaldroog	Chitaldroog
Chikmagalur	Kadur

Court of the District Judge Districts of Bangalore, Kolar
of Nandidroog and Tumkur.
" Ashtagrah — Mysore & Hassan.
" Nagar — Shemoga, Kadur and
Chitaldroog.

"By Order"

(Signed) W. J. Cunningham
Secretary.

V.C.

Notification by the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

GENERAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

The 9th September 1879.

No. 154.—Under the provisions of Rule 3 of the Notification of the Government of India *No.* 235*I.-J.*, dated 27th August 1879, the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Courts noted below have been fixed as follows :—

Name of Court.	Local Jurisdiction.
Court of the Munsiff of Bangalore ...	The Taluks of Bangalore, Anekal, Hoskote.
Do Yelahanka ...	Do Devanhalli, Nelamangala, Dodballapur.
Do Closepet ...	Do Magadi, Closepet, Kankanhalli.
Do Kolar ...	Do Kolar, Malur, Betmangala, Mulbagal.
Do Chintamani ...	Do Sidlaghatta, Shrinivaspur.
Do Chikballapur ...	Do Goribidanur, Chikballapur, Gumnayakanpalya and Gudibanda.
Do Túmkúr ...	Do Túmkúr, Sira, Maddagiri, Koratagere and the following town and villages of the Kadaba Taluk, *viz.* Gubbi, Prahananhalli, Adguru, Channasagara, Guduganjhalli, Halegubbi, Hosahalli, Halanur, Adalapura, Mallasandra, Ariyur, Mallappanahalli, Munasandra, Timmanakuppe.
Do Turuvekere ...	Do Kadaba (except town and villages above specified,) Honnavalli, Kunigal, Chiknayakanhalli, and the Hoblis of Huliyar, Tammanhalli, Kandakere and Muddanhalli of Budihal Taluk.
Do Mysore ...	Do Mysore, Ashtagram.
Do Nanjangúd ...	Do Nanjangúd, Gundlupet, Chamrajnagar, Talkad, Yellandur.
Do Húnsúr ...	Do Periyapatna, Heggaddevankote, Yedatore.
Do Mandya ...	Do Mandya, Maddur, Malvalli, Nagamangala, Attikuppa with the exception of Kikkeri Hobli.
Do Hassan ...	Do Hassan, Manjarabad, Belur, and Haranhalli, (with exception of Kittangere Hobli).
Do Narsipur ...	Do Narsipur, Arkalgúd, Channáraypatna and the Kikkeri Hobli of the Attikuppa Taluk.
Do Chikmagalur ...	Do Chikmagalur, Múdgere, Koppa, the Hippla, Kalvasi, and Hebba Maganis of the Lakvalli Taluk.
Do Kadur ...	Do The Lakvalli Taluk excepting the Maganis of Hippla Kalvasi, and Hebba, Tarikere, Banavar and the Kittangere Hobli attached to the Haranhalli Taluk of the Hassan District.
Do Shimoga ...	Do Shimoga, Channagiri, Kavaledurga.
Do Ságar ...	Do Ságar, Nagar, late Anantapur.
Do Shikarpur ...	Do Honnali, Shikarpur, Sorab.
Do Chitaldroog ...	Do Chitaldroog, Hiriyur, Hosdurga, Budihal, excepting Huliyar, Tammanhalli, Kandakere and Muddanhalli Hoblis which are included in the Turuvekere Sub-Division Munsiff's Court, Túmkúr District.
Do Davangere ...	Do Davangere, Kankuppa.
Do Challakere ...	Do Doderi, Pavagada.
Court of the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore ...	District of Bangalore.
Do Kolar ...	Do Kolar.
Do Túmkúr ...	Do Túmkúr.
Do Mysore ...	Do Mysore.
Do Hassan ...	Do Hassan.
Do Shimoga ...	Do Shimoga.
Do Chitaldroog ...	Do Chitaldroog.
Do Chikmagalur ...	Do Kadur.
Court of the District Judge of Nundydroog ...	Districts of Bangalore, Kolar and Túmkúr.
Do Ashtagram ...	Do Mysore and Hassan.
Do Nagar ...	Do Shimoga, Kadur and Chitaldroog.

W. J. CUNINGHAM,
Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—17-9-79—20.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office,
Dated Camp Mysore, 9th September 187

Notification.

With reference to Notification No. 1 of this day's date the Chief Commissioner is pleased to appoint Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Hay to be District Judge of Ashtagram and Lieutenant Colonel Tredway G. Clarke to be District Judge of Nagar.—

The following appointments of Subordinate Judges are made:—

Mr. S. Venkatvardaviengar, M.L.B.A, to be Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

" P. N. Purnaiya B.A —"—, Kolar.

" B. Sreenivasaviengar —"—, Tumkur.

" T. Narahari Rao M.L —"— Mysore

" V. N. Tirumalacharier —"— Hassan

" C. Cress ———— "—, Shimoga

" C. Chittamberam Iyer —"—, Chikmagalur.

" M. Muddakrishna Naidu is appointed to act as Subordinate Judge of Chitaldrug until further orders.

By order
(Signed) W. J. Cunningham
Secretary.

V.C.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Dated Camp Mysore 9th Sept. 1879.

Notification.

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J.J. dated 27th August 1879, the Chief Commissioner has been pleased to invest the Subordinate Judges mentioned below with the Jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits Cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 500, within the local limits of the Towns specified opposite their names.

Mr. P. N. Purnaiya B.A — Subordinate Judge, Kolar.
" B. Sreenivasaengar ——— " ——— Tumkur.
" T. Narahari Row M.L ——— " ——— Mysore.
" V. N. Trimalacharier — " ——— Hassan.
" C. Cress ——— " ——— Shimoga.
" M. Mudukistna Naidu ——— " ——— Chitaldrug.
" C. Chitamburam Iyer ——— " ——— Chickmaglur.

By order

sd/ W. J. Cunningham.

Secretary.

V.S.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office

Camp Mysore, 9th September 1879.

Notification.

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 2359 J. dated 27th August 1879, the Chief Commissioner has been pleased to invest the Munsiffs mentioned below, with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cog=nizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rs 50, within the local limits of the Towns specified opposite their names:-

G. Raghunath Rao. ——— } Munsiff-
B. Ramaswamy Iyengar acting. } Closepet.

C. C. Sachedanandam Pillay - } Munsiff-
S. Vurdarajiengar acting - } Chintamani.

M. Ramasawmy Iyer — Munsiff, Chikballapur.

Mr J. Lawrence ——— Munsiff, Nanjangud.

M. Mangapati Naidu — Munsiff Davengere.

By order
of W. J. Cunningham
Secretary.

V.C.

548

18 79.

From

To The Commissioner
Mysore Gazette
Bangalore

Dated 9th September

Despatched " "

Received

Enclosures No. 4 Notifns.

General No. 4538

No. 900

Forwards herewith
Notifications in
regard to the Civil Courts
in Mysore for publication
in the Mysore Gazette
and requests that they
may be numbered
consecutively in the
order in which they
are received.

By order &c

Secretary

Vide from Judl Comm Bangr No 5168 dt 11/9/79
Vide from Judl Comm Bangr No 5168 dt 8/9/79

Mysore Chief Commrs Office
Camp Mysore 9th Sept 1879

General No 4552
Judicial 1872

To The Judl Comr

49

Sir

I am directed to acknowledge your letter No 2127-249 d 6th inst: forwarding draft notifns under the rules publd in Nos 235 S.J. of the Govt of India

2 With a few verbal alterations & the addition of a subordinate judge at Chikmagalur necessitating the withdrawal of S.C. powers proposed for the Munsiff of that place the Notns are approved. Copies of the amended notns assigning and of another appt judges to the Sevl Courts of Dist Judge & Subordinate Judge are inclosed.

3 With regard to the investiture of Munsiffs with S.C. powers Mr Gordon wishes you to consider whether it is not expedient in the interests of public convenience to confer the powers with regard only to the fitness of the judge to exercise them in all places wht in the Dt is situated in large towns or not, the existing jurisdiction up to Rs 20 being ordinarily retained & the higher limit of Rs 30 being specially kept for large towns & trading centres ~~& principal places~~

I have &c

MJC 8/9

To

The Jr Sec Commr of Mysore

Dated Camp Mysore, 9th Sept 79

Despatched ——————10——

Received.

Encl: No 4 copies of draft
see draft No 4558 of 9-9-79
900 to Compiler
249

Genl No 4552 ✓
No 72

Approving with a few alterations the draft notifications submitted with this No 247/249 dated 6th September 1879.

To Compiler, No 4558/900, 9th Sept 79.
From Secy to Govt, No 2231/263, 13th Sept 79.

Ft D. 4600
J 74

Dupoor Political Office,
Camp Dupoor 11 Sept 89

To The Govt Comr.

Sir,

I am directed by the CC to inform you in reply to letter No 2155 — 253 dated the 8th inst, para 1, that application will be made to the Govt of India to add to Rule 11 of the rules published in Notn No 235 D.J. as suggested by you —

2. The provisions made in the matter of appointment of Ministerial Offrs and publication of holidays will be reported to Govt.

3. I am directed to request that you will forward a draft notification appointing Munsiffs under the rules, and as suggested in para 5 that you will issue the necessary orders for the preparation of seals for the Chs of the Dist judges & Sessions judges. I have &c

11/9.

11/9

1879

To The Jud. Commissioner of Mysore

Dated Camp Mysore, 11th Sept.r

Despatched — 13th —

Received

Rec. No. —

Genl. No. 4600

J. No. 74

States that application will be made to the Govt. of India to add to Rule 11 of the rules published in Notification regarding the Constitution of Civil Courts in Mysore as suggested by him, and requesting for a draft Notification appointing Munsiffs under the new rules &c.

No. 223/263

Bangalore
13th September 1879.

From

F. D. Sandford Esquire
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner,
of Mysore.

General Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of your No. 4552/72 of the 9th instant, I have the honor to state that I do not recommend the grant of Small Cause powers to Munsiffs holding Courts at other places than the head quarters of a District or large towns in the interior.

2. In the Courts of the interior generally there is no press of work and no reason why the Evidence should not be recorded at length and the reasons for the judgment be fully stated.

3 I think moreover that it is desirable that

that the right of appeal from the decisions of Courts located at small towns, in the interior of Districts should not be taken away. It should be remembered that the bar at such places is very feeble, that there is no public opinion which can make its voice heard, and that even a conscientious and able Judge may make mistakes in his judgments, while one who is in any way defficient may be led into an extension of his proper authority. I can conceive great injustice being done at such places which may long remain without a remedy, if that ordinarily provided by the Law be withheld. It does not follow that because a suit is of small pecuniary value, its decision may not be of very great interest to a poor villager.

4. I would not therefore recommend an extension of Small Cause jurisdiction even in petty cases to places (Re Mousseau et al) other than those mentioned in the Notification No. 158 dated 9th September 1879, except on special grounds shewn.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

J Duggan

Judicial Commissioner

Municipalities who can be trusted to decide honestly, and then to give them certain S. L. L. powers everywhere. The Exceptional cases in which a man deciding honestly may make a mistake can hardly justify our withdrawing powers, which on general grounds of public expediency have usually been granted.

I am prepared to leave matters as they now are for 6 months by which time we can obtain the opinions of Native Medical Officers & Judges as to how it works practically — & the L. C. will be

re a decision to report his views on further trial of the System.

HBL 25/9

1879. 80 0.2812.

From
The Judicial Commissioner
Cherokee

To
The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Cherokee
Govt. Department.

Dated 15th September 1879
Recd 18th
Regd 7th

No 2231
263.

Referring to his No: 4053 dt
22nd Aug. 79 I have
the honor to state that
the Judicial Commissioner does
not recommend the grant
of small Cause powers to
Municipal Councils
or other places save the
Head Quarters of districts
& large towns for the interest

the J. C. bases his objection, and that, in the face of these views, I will not at present insist on the retention by the Municipals of the S. C. C. powers heretofore exercised by them. I would ask the J. C. to consider whether with ref.ce to the Act of giving Magl. powers to the Municipals it would be the case that in their Courts generally in the interior there is no press of work. In regard to there being no appeal in petty cases, it should be borne in mind that the Law recognizes this state of things, even in regard to the criminal cultivators.

It appears to me that the real aim should be to select men as

I Honr &c
R/R
say that I recognize the Truth & force of the grounds on wh.

1879-80 C.2790.

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissr.
of Penang

15th Septr 1879

Recd 15th "

Recd 15th "

No 2243
261

Penang Letters Received 1879 No 4820 d/15 Sept

Forwards a draft Notification appointing Peramsiffo under the Rules published with Notification No 235 S.S. of 29th Augt 1879.

Subm. The only correction necessary is I think to enter Ramasamy Iyoge as 'pro tem' at Kellah Lumka.

Anantasami Ka... name does not appear in the list but app. the permanent Interpr.

under proper sanction of the present tentative establishment in the C.C. Office he retains a lien on a Municiffi.

Recd 7/9. 812

Drafted 14/9/79

No. 2243/261

412

Bangalore 15th September 1879.

88

From,

J. D. Sandford Esqre
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

As requested in your No. 4600/74 of the 11th instant, I have the honor to forward a draft Notification, appointing Municiffs under the Rules published with Notification No. 235 J. J. dated 27th August 1879.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

J. D. Sandford

Judicial Commissioner

416

The 15 September 1879.

The Chief Commissioner has been pleased to appoint the following Officers to be Munsiffs under the Rules published by the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 I.J. dated 27th August 1879, of the Courts shewn opposite their names.

Name of Officers	Name of Court
Mr. B Srinivalachari B.L.	Court of the Munsiff of Bangalore
" Ramasami Iengar	" Yelahanka
" Raghunath Rao	" Closepet
" J. W. Knight	" Kolar
" R. C. Satchidanandam Pillai	" Chintamani
" S. Varadarajiengar (Acting)	
Mr. Ramasami Iyer BA. BL.	" Chickballapur
" Krishnasamaiengar B.A.	" Sumkur
" S. J. Vanderlowen	" Tumkur
" Rangasamaiengar BA. BL.	" Mysore

Name of Officer	Name of Court
Mr. J. C. S. Lawrance BA. BL	Court of the Munsiff of Nanjangud
" C. Seshaiengar ———	——— " ——— Gunsur
" V. D. Ponnarangam Modaliar	——— " ——— Mandya
" C. Venkat Rao ———	——— " ——— Hasan
L.C. Sivagnanam Pille ———	——— " ——— Nareipur
" T. Raghavendra Rao ———	——— " ——— Chikmaglur
" P. Venkat Rao ———	——— " ——— Kadur
M " Mudduktishna Naidu " C. Subba Rao (Acting)	——— " ——— Shimoga
M " Annaji Rao ———	——— " ——— Sagar
" M. Srinivasaiengar ———	——— " ——— Shikarpur
" C. Srinivasaiengar ———	——— " ——— Chitaldroog
" N. Mangapati Naidu ———	——— " ——— Davangere
" " "	
" S. Venket Vardaiengar ———	——— " " ——— Challakere

5413 By order

No. 4820/948 Secretary

To the Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication

No. 4824/D 578 Copy of the Judicial Commr's letter No. 2243/261 of 15th inst.

By order

Secretary

Yh 13/7/78

1879.

From The Secy: to the Chf: Commr
of Mysore

To The Comptroller
Mysore Gazette

Dated Camp Mysore 15th September.
Despatched — — — — 19 — — —
Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 4820 ✓
G. No. 948

Notification appointing
certain Officers the Munsiffs
under the rules published by
the Govt of India Notification
No 2351-J dated 27th August
1879.

No 2409
287

Bangalore 29th September 1879

From

J. D. Sandford Esquire
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To,

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's notification No. 173 of the 25th Instant, published at page 274 part I of the Mysore Gazette of the 27th idem, I have the honor to request that the following addition as underlined be made to the above notification and published in the Gazette, as it is not desirable that the Small Cause Court jurisdiction vested in Mr. Venkatavaradiengar within the local limits of the Taluk of Bangalore should include the Hobli of Yellahunka, so long as there is a Munsiff's Court at Yellahunka

"with the exception of the Town and Cantonment of that name, and with the exception of the Hobli of Yellahunka"

The addition should have effect from the 25th September 1879.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obed't Servant,

J. D. Sandford
Jud'l Commissioner

J-55-1

02971.

1879-80

From

The Asst. Commissr of
Mysore

To

The Govt Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore

Dated 29 September 1879

Recd 1 October

Cde 2409

287

Suggests an addition
to Notification No. 173
of 25 August published
at pages 274 Part I
of the Mysore Gazette
of the 27 idem

Submitted

The Subjudges from
Sira & Penukonda in the Shorb taluk
at Mr. Sandford's recommendation
but Yelleshanka Hobli is not within
the munsiff of Bangalore so
Mr. Sandford now recommends
that that Hobli be withdrawn from
the Sira jurisdiction & the
Asst opr of a Munsiff. I do not
know if the objection is altogether
valid. It would be anomalous
probably Mr Sandford feels to
require suitors in petty cases to
go from Yelleshanka to
Bangalore, but it is also anom-
alous to have no
Sira jurisdiction
in that one hobli

WM 9/10

D.C. M.S.

Submit a draft not as requested
by Mr Sandford WM 9/10

Blagden
16/10/79

General No: 5073
J 89

Prop: of the Chief Com: of Mysore
Camp Hunsoor 1st Octr 79

of 1st October 1879

816

Read Letter 2231/263 d 13 Sept: 1879 from the Jud Comr. stating that he does not recommend the grant of Sm. C. powers to Offs holding Cts at places in the interior other than the Hd Qrs of Dists or large towns.

Order.

The Chief Comr fully appreciates and has given ample consideration to the arguments upon which the Jud Comr bases his objection, and though he is still of opinion that the balance of public convenience and expediency is in favour of retaining Sm: Cause powers in petty cases throughout the Province, he will not in the face of the expression Mr: Sandford has given to his views, insist on the present powers of Offs being retained.

RBL
27/9

Now that much of the
Criminal work of the
interior devolves on the
Municipal the C. C. is rather
surprised to learn that there
is no press of work in the
Cts generally and he
will be glad to know whether
the ~~Z~~apparence of the
next six months confirms
the statement

That the privilege of ap-
pealing should in petty cases
give way to the public con-
venience of getting business
transacted is a principle
which is applied even to
Criminal ~~Law~~ Law of procedure
and it must be accepted
wherever public convenience
demands it.

I: Gordon would rather
in the interests of the public
~~to~~ rely upon ~~to~~ being able to obtain
the services of men who could
be trusted to do their work
honestly

honestly than resort to a cumbersome procedure in any case to the inconvenience of suitors and delay of justice. The very exceptional case of a judge deciding wrongly in all honesty of purpose is not likely to occur so often that special measures, not in themselves desirable, need be taken to avoid it; nor, it may be remarked, does an appeal from one single judge to another necessarily afford a remedy to any errors that the first may have been led into.

On the whole then Mr Godson will leave the Municipal powers as they now stand for 6 months, ~~and~~ by which time the J. C will be in a position to report his views and the opinion of the Native Dist. Offrs after a fair trial of the system.

M. 27/4

To The Judicial Comm.

1879

To The Judl Commissioner
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Mercara 1st October 79.
Despatched. ——— 3d ———

Genl No 5073 ✓
J No 89

Passing orders on Judicial Commrs No 2231/263 of 13th September 1879 stating that he does not recommend the grant of small cause powers to Munsiffs holding Courts at places in the interior other than the Hd Qrs of Dts or large towns.

Letters
from J.C.
to Kath
Addy

Sir

In your order on the J.C's letter to the Subordinate Judge of Bangalore is vested with S.C powers throughout the South while J.C's powers are only in the Tanjore District to ask if the alteration is intentional or not it is a slip

Hill 23/9/79

No. 2274
270.

Bangalore 18th September 1879

From

L. D. Sandford Esqr.
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To,

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir,

No. 2127/249 d/6th Sept. 1879.

In continuation of my letter, quoted in the margin, I have the honor to recommend that under the provision of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J. J dated 27th August 1879, the subordinate Judge of Bangalore be invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes, for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees one hundred within the Taluq of Bangalore (exclusive of the Town and Cantonment.)

2 Before the issue of the recent Rules the Munsiff of Bangalore exercised the powers of a Small Cause Court throughout his jurisdiction within a pecuniary

limit of 20 Rupees; and the effect of the withdrawal of the powers will be considerably to increase the work of that Court, which was already very heavy.

3. But instead of restoring the Small Cause jurisdiction to the Munsiff, I would propose that it be vested in the Court of the subordinate Judge - not only because the work of that Court is at present light, but because I think it desirable that the Small Cause jurisdiction should be exercised by the higher Court.

4. The pecuniary limit is at present proposed at Rupees one hundred but I see no reason why it should not hereafter be raised to Rupees five hundred the limit which has been fixed for the other subordinate Judges -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed[t] Servant

W Duncan
Judicial Commissioner

L/6

O. 2828.

1879.80 L.

From
The Judicial Commissioner
Mysore
To The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of Mysore
& Coorg.

Dated 18 September 1879
Rec'd 18 "
No. 2274
270.

Recommends that under the provisions of Rules of the Notification of the Govt of India of 27 August the Sub Judge of Bangalore be invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Court up to the amount of Rs 100 within the local limits of the Taluk of Bangalore other than the town & Cantt of that name.

23/1

Under the provs of R 8 of the N.N. of Govt of India 25 Oct. No 27 Aug 79 the C.C. has been pleased to invest M. S Venkat Vardacharyar M A B.L. Sub Jr. of Bangalore with the jurisdiction of a C of Sm C for the trial of suits cognizable by such Court up to the amt of Rs 100 within the local limits of the Taluk of Bangalore other than the town & Cantt of that name.

Copy to J.C. who is informed in reply to his No.
that the C.C. sanctions this arrangement tentatively & requests that the J.C. may report when the pecuniary limit may in his opinion be raised

Drafted 24/9/79

Camp Sept 25 Have given the Gazette notice in Kan. & Mar. investing the with Small Cause jurisdiction as applied for

[illegible]

To the Secretary to Government

Mysore

Dy Comr's Mysore 26 Sept 78

Notification

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India 235 L.J. of 27th August 1879, the Commissioner has been pleased to invest Mr S. Venkatavaradayalu M.A., B.L. Subordinate Judge of Bangalore, with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rs 100 within the local limits of the taluk of Bangalore with the exception of the town & Cantonment of that name.

By order
W. J. Cunningham
Secretary

P. J. O

No 4941
" 977

To the Comptr Mysore Gazette
for publication

No 4942 ✓
D 596.

Copy forwarded to the
Who is informed
Judicial Council in reply
to his No 2274/278 of 18th
September 1879, that
the Chief Commissioner
sanctions this arrangement
tentatively, and ~~requests~~
~~that he~~ Mr Sandford is
requested to report when
the pecuniary limit
may in his opinion be
raised.

Secretary

Lh
24/9/79.

1879

To

The Secretary Mysore Gazette

and Govt: Commrs Office Mysore

Dated Camp Mysore 25th Septr 79

Despd 26th

Encl: 4.

No 1941

977

Notification investing Mr

S. Venkatanaradeingar M. L.

B. A. with the jurisdiction

of a Judge of a court of small

Causes for the trial of suits

Cognizable by such courts up

to the amount of Rs: 100 -

The G. O. No. 395 of 19th Dec 1866 extends the provision of Act XXIV of 1865 to the Small Cause Court at Bangalore, and by a subsequent notification dated 22 Sept 71 that Court was re-constituted under the above act, which however was not extended to the whole Province.

Referred back

8-11-79.

Anantasivamy Rao then was a draft asking India to extend the Act to Mysore which was kept back pending this question being answered Have you got it or I?

10/11

Sir, I submit the draft & fair which I took with me

10/11/79

1879-80 O. 2069

From

The Judicial Commissioner Mysore.

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore

Dated 7th October 1879

Rec'd 9th " "

Ans'd 24th " "

Vol 296.

Suggests an addition to the rules regarding the constitution under the powers of the Civil Courts per Act XXIV of 1865

S 19

Submitted

Would be preferable to propose a Notification to India in the terms of the last part of para 1 as a distinct notification referring in it to the Rules but not asking Govt to add it as part of the rules themselves.

12/10

J. of S.

Hd Asstt. Turn up G. O. No. 395 d 19-12-66 & let me know whether it extends Act XXIV of 1865 to the Province of Mysore or only to the Territories of Bangalore

[Here copy letter No 321.]
of 28th January 1880 from
the Government of
India Foreign Department,
with Enclosure]

General No: 8123
D 1008

D/ 6th February 1880.

Copies forwarded
to the Judicial Commissioner
with reference to his
letter No 2485 of 17th
October 1879.

"By Order"

Secretary

Ulc
5/2/80

No. 2485
296.

Bangalore 7th October 1879.

From,

J. D. Sandford Esqre.
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore.

To,

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the recent rules regarding the constitution and powers of the Civil Courts in Mysore published under Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J. J. dated 27th August 1879, may be amended by the insertion of the words "under Act XI of 1865" after the words "Judge of a Court of Small Causes" in Rule 8 of the Rules. Or it may be better that

that a general rule shall be added to these Rules, as follows.

"The provisions of Act XI of 1865 are hereby introduced into Mysore, and shall apply to all Courts exercising the Jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes under these Rules."

2. It is feared that difficulties will arise if it be not distinctly provided that the Jurisdiction, procedure, and powers of the Courts be those described in that Act.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

W Dumergue
Judicial Commissioner

Lfb

Mysore C. C's Office,
Camp: Hoongsoor 10th Octr 1879.

Notification.

The following addition will be made with effect from the 25th September/79. to ~~the~~ in ~~Notification~~ the Chf Commrs Notifn: No: 173 of the same date investing Mr S. Venkata-Varadaiengar M.A; B.A; with the jurisdiction of a Court of Small causes within the Local limits of the Taluk of Bangalore. -

After the words "with the exception of the Town & Cantonment of that name" add "and with the exception of the Hobli of Yelahanka". -

By order

[signature]
Secretary.

No 5241
9 1024

To Compiler, Mysore Gazette. 1 Copy P.T.O.

1879-80 03339

From The Judl Commissioner of Mysore

To

The Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

after the word 'appeal' for
"on" read "or in"

421

Dated 28th October 1879
Despatched 5th Nov 1879
Received 6th

Enclosures No.

General No. 2680 } 187 —7 .
No. 313 }

Suggests the issue of an Erratum in the Gazette of India and in the Mysore Gazette

Foreign

I am directed by the C.C to inform you that in his 2 Rule 3 of the Rules published in Not. No. 282 J.J dated 10th October 1879 published in the Gazette of India of the 18th October there is a slight clerical error & I am desired to request the publication of the annexed draft Not. in correction of it

Not.

In Not. No. 282 J.J dated 10th Oct. 1879. For. Dept, in the 2nd clause of Rule 3

No. 2680
3/3

Bangalore 28th October 1879.

From

J. D. Sandford Esquire
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The General Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Chief Commissioner an error in the Notification of the Government of India No. 2482 I. J. dated the 10th instant, published in the Gazette of India and in the Mysore Gazette, and would suggest the issue of an ersatum in the Gazettes.

The erratum should run as follows.

'For the word "on" after the word "appeal" in line 2 of the second paragraph of Rule 3 of the Notification

Notification read "or in".

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obed^t Servant

Bradford

Judicial Commissioner

L.B

Bangalore 3d December 1879

No. 3060
364

From.

J. D. Sandford Esqr.
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore
General Department

Sir,

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 208 of the 24th ultimo, published at page 346 of the Mysore Gazette of the 29th idem appointing certain officers Sub Judges, I have the honor to recommend that, under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 I. J. dated 27th August 1879, the following officers, Subordinate Judges, may be invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of Suits cognizable by such courts up to the amount of Rupees 500 within the local limits

No 237 I.
of 27 Dec 79
for Orders
thereon

of the Towns specified opposite their names.

Mr. Rungaswamiengar ... Kolar
Mr. R. Chitambram Iyer ... Tumkur
Mr. V. P. Madhava Row ... Hassan
Mr. S. Venkatvardiengar ... Chickmagalur
Mr. B. Timmalachari ... Chitaldroog

2. I have also to recommend that Mr. Jennings who has been appointed Sub Judge Bangalore may be vested with the same powers to be exercised within the Taluq of Bangalore exclusive of the Town and Cantonment of Bangalore and of the Hobly of Yellahunka up to the amount of 100 Rupees.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed. Servant

Judicial Commissioner

L.P.

18 79. 80. 03669

From The Asst Commissioner Mysore

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 3
Despatched 5 } December 79
Received 13

Enclosures No.

General No. 3060
No. 367 } 187 —7 .

Referring to the Chief Commrs letter no 208 of the 24 ult in reference to certain Sub Judges who may be vested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of Court of Small Causes further & with up to Rs 5000

Notify the Asst C. for as recommended herein — Hyd. 8/12

Draft 11/12/79

General No: 6459
J 119

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 11th Dec 79

423

Notification

Under the provisions of Rule 6 of the Notification of the Government of India Foreign Department No 235 J dated 27th August 1879, the following Subordinate Judges are invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rs 500 within the local limits of the towns specified opposite their names:-

Mr A. Rungasamy Iyengar B.A.B.L. — Kolar
Mr E. Chetambara Iyer ——— Tumkur
Mr V.P. Madhava Rao B.A. ——— Hassan
Mr S. Venkatavardaraja M.L. B.A. — Chikmagalur
Mr B. Tirumalachari B.L. ——— Chitaldroog

2. Mr W. Jennings, Subordinate Judge, Bangalore District, is invested with the above named jurisdiction to be exercised within the taluk of Bangalore exclusive of the Town and Cantonment of Bangalore and of the Hobli of Yelahanka, up to the amount of 100 Rupees.

"By Order"

J.C.

Secretary

To the Consulate, Mysore Gazette for publication

11/12/94

To

The Compiler of the
Mysore Gazette

Dated Camp Tumkur 11th December
Despd ——— 12" ———

No 6459 Draft
J 119

Investing certain Subordinate Judges with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of small Causes for the trial of suits Cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rs: 500-

No. 3262
385.

Bangalore 15th Dec: 1879.

From,

L. D. Sandford Esqr.
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore
General Department.

Sir

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India No. 235 J. J. dated 27th August 1879, I have the honor to recommend that Mr. V. D. Pounarungum Moodeliar, Munsiff of the Nunjengood Sub Division may be invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes

for

for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 50, within the local limits of the town of Nuwejengode.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed.t Servant

Marshal

Judicial Commissioner

D.C

1879. 80 0381

From The Jnr. Commissioners of Jaffna

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 15th December 1879.

Despatched 17th " "

Received 17 " "

Enclosures No.

General No. 3262
No. 385 } 187 —7 .

I Recommend under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of Ceylon No 235 J. J 8/27th August 1879, that Mr. V. S. Pannampalam Modliar Provincial Mayoonpodie Sub-Division may be invested with the Jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes.

Notify

First inquire whether Rs 50 is a clerical error for Rs 500. That was the amount to which Others are empowered so that the Lascl Mayoonpodie Provincial Modliar Mr Lawrence had. Do not write but inquire in person

18/12

Made the note accordingly
the 20/12

Gl. Dr. 6693
J. No. 130

Major Chief Commr. Officer.

D/ Bangalore 22nd Dec/78

Notification

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India, Foreign Department, No. 235 I.J. dated 27th August 1878, the Chief Commissioner is pleased to invest ~~Mr~~ C. D. Pownarayanan Mudaliar, Munsiff of Nanjangud, with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 50, within the local limits

879

In the Complaint Mysore Legislature

d/ 22nd Dec 1879
Decd - 22nd

Sl No 6693
J " 130

I II

Succeeds V. D. Pommayya
Asst. Principal of Bangalore
with the jurisdiction of a Judge
of a Court of Small Causes.

No. 3904
464.

Bangalore 30th January 1880

426

From

J. D. Sandford Esqr.
Judicial Commissioner
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore
General Department

Sir

I have the honor to recommend that Mr. Raghavendra Row, Officiating Subordinate Judge of Chickmagalur, may under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India, No. 233 - J. dated 27th August 1879, be invested with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small causes, for the trial of Suits cognizable by such courts, up to the amount of Rs 500, within the local limits

limits of the Town of Chicknagalee.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obed.t Servant

W Sandford

Judicial Commissioner

8
9-65½:

044418

18 79. 80

From The Just. Commissioner of Mysore.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 30th January 1880.

Despatched 2nd Feb. -

Received 2nd —

Enclosures No.

General No. 3904 } 187 —7 .
No. 464 }

Recommends that Rajhavendra Rao officiating Subordinate Judge of Chickmagalur may be invested with the Jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes

M. C. C Office

Bangalore 4th February 1880

Notification

Under the provisions of Rule 8 of the Notification of the Government of India, Foreign Department, No. 235 J.J. dated 27th August 1879, the Chief Commissioner is pleased to invest Mr. T. Raghavendra Rao, Offg Subordinate Judge, Kadur District, with the jurisdiction of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes for the trial of suits cognizable by such Courts up to the amount of Rupees 500 within the local limits of the town of Chikmagalur.

"By Order"

Secretary

To the Bangalore Mysore Gazette for publication

Mr 4/2/80

427

General No: 8073
J 168

1880

To

The Compiler

Mysore Gazette

Dated 4th February

Despd: 4th ——

Draft

General No 8073

J 168

Notification inviting

Mr. Raghavendra Rao,

Offg Subordinate Judge,

Kadur District, with

the Jurisdiction of a Judge

of a Court of Small Causes

up to the amount of

Rs: 500 within the local

limits of the town of

Chickmagalur.

Notification

The following Notification of the Government of India Foreign Department, is republished for general information, in continuation of Chief Commissioners' Notification No 153 J/5 dated September 1879

[Here print Foreign Department Notification No 31 I.J. of 28th January 1880 published at page 74, Part I of the Gazette of India dated 31st idem.]

By Order

Secretary

Mr
6/2/80

× His Notif. has not yet appeared in the Gazette, and as the original Notif. which this modifies was published under a Notif. of the C.S., this draft is submitted

Mr
6/2

No 8122
9 1622

To Mr Campbell, Mysore Gazette
for publication.